Effects of Variety and Harvest Management on Cured Leaf Quality and TSNA Content of Burley Tobacco

R.D. Miller, 1,2 L.P. Bush, 1 and A.M. Jack 1

University of Kentucky¹ University of Tennessee²

INTRODUCTION

- The Fair and Equitable Tobacco Reform Act of 2004 has resulted in numerous changes in burley tobacco production practices:
- > Fewer growers with larger acreages
- Shift from traditional growing regions
- Expansion of the growing season
- Harvesting during adverse weather conditions
- Majority of the crop comprised of only a few varieties

INTRODUCTION

- In recent years, a decline in leaf quality has been observed in some markets
- While quality issues are likely related to changes in production practices and adverse weather conditions during curing, some growers and buyers have questioned the leaf quality of recently released varieties

INTRODUCTION

- In recent years, a decline in leaf quality has been observed in some markets
- While quality issues are likely related to changes in production practices and adverse weather conditions during curing, some growers and buyers have questioned the leaf quality of recently released varieties
- The current study was conducted to evaluate the relative impact of variety versus harvest management on burley tobacco quality and TSNA content

Effects of Variety and Harvest Management on Burley Quality

Six	Two Transplant/Harvest	Pick-up
Varieties	Regimes	From Field
TN 90LC	Transplant Mid-May	Day of Cutting
KY 14 X L8LC	Harvest 21 - 24 Days	3 Days after Cutting
KT 204LC	After Topping	
KT 206LC	(Mid-August)	6 Days after Cutting
KT 210LC		10 Days After Cutting
KT 212LC	Transplant Late June	
	Harvest 35 - 38 Days	
	After Topping	
	(Late Sept - Early Oct)	

Effects of Variety and Harvest Management on Burley Quality

Samples chosen from Lexington, Ky and Greeneville, TN

Split Split-Plot block design with three replications

Plot Size Two Rows
Total of 60 Plants

Hung in Barn

Day 0	Day 3	Day 6	Day 10
0	30	15	15

Variety Effects Means Across Harvest Management Treatments Data for All Treatments

	Grade	Yield	Income	Avg. Price	Usability
Entry	Index	(lbs/A)	(\$/A)	(\$/Lb)	Score
TN 90LC	45	2645	3739	1.44	3.2
KY 14 X L8LC	47	2831	4082	1.46	3.2
KT 204LC	46	2833	4029	1.45	3.1
KT 206LC	47	2771	3988	1.46	3.2
KT 210LC	50	2820	4176	1.51	3.0
KT 212LC	46	2766	4046	1.47	3.2
Range	4	188	437	0.07	0.2
_					

Harvest Management Effects Means Across Varieties

Early Harvest Trial - Greeneville

Days in Field	Grade Index	Yield (lbs/A)	Income (\$/A)	Avg. Price (\$/Lb)	Usability Score				
/									
0 Day	50	2833	4250	1.50	3.7				
0.81 inch rain	n on Day 1, 0.	.82 inch rain	on Day 2						
3 Day	33	2839	3864	1.36	3.9				
6 Day	31	2990	2332	0.78	4.8				
0.51 inch rain	0.51 inch rain on Day 7								
10 Day	30	3105	1615	0.52	4.9				
Range	20	272	2635	0.98	1.2				

Cut August 12, 21 days after topping

Period Ambient Temp: Max = 90 Min = 75 Avg = 83

All plots left in the field dispayed sunscald

Early Versus Late Season Effect Harvest Management Means Across Varieties Data without Significant Rainfall

Days in	Grade Index		Avg. Price		Avg. Usability	
Field	Early	Late	Early	Late	Early	Late
0 Day	67	44	1.63	1.52	2.4	3.0
3 Day	62	47	1.68	1.57	2.8	3.1
6 Day	66	46	1.69	1.59	2.5	2.8
10 Day	62	41	1.66	1.56	2.9	2.8
Mean	64	45	1.67	1.56	2.6	2.9

Summary of Quality Effects

Varieties had minimal effect on cured leaf quality

Rainfall occuring on tobacco left in the field drastically reduced quality

In the absence of rainfall, there was no negative impact from leaving tobacco in the field for up to six days; quality declined after ten days in field

Late harvested tobacco was inferior to early harvested tobacco when rainfall was not a factor

What is the impact of harvest management on TSNA?

A Subset of Samples were Chosen for TSNA Analyses

Effects of Variety and Harvest Management on Burley Quality

Six	Two Transplant/Harvest	Pick-up
Varieties	Regimes	From Field
TN 90LC	Transplant Mid-May	Day of Cutting
KY 14 X L8LC	Harvest 21 - 24 Days	3 Days after Cutting
KT 204LC	After Topping	
	(Mid-August)	6 Days after Cutting
		10 Days After Cutting
	Transplant Late June	
	Harvest 35 - 38 Days	
	After Topping	
	(Late Sept - Early Oct)	

Harvest Management Study

Early Harvest - Lexington

Cut 21 days after Topping

Treatment	Cut	Pick-up	Hang	Rainfall	Sunscald
0	Aug. 16	Aug. 16	Aug. 19	0	No
3	Aug. 16	Aug. 19	Aug. 19	0.11 Aug 18	Yes
6	Aug. 16	Aug. 22	Aug. 22	0.11 Aug 18	Yes
9	Aug. 16	Aug. 25	Aug. 25	0.11 Aug 18	Yes

Period Air Temperature: Max = 86, Min = 64, Avg = 75

Congress2012 - Document not peer-reviewed by CORESTA

Variety Effects Means Across Harvest Management Treatments

Early Season - Lexington

	Variety						
Variable	TN 90LC ms KY14XL8LC		KT 204LC	PR>F	LSD. ₀₅		
Grade Index	64	70	69	0.19	ns		
Price (\$/lb)	1.71	1.70	1.70	0.77	ns		
Yield (lb/A)	2712 b	2663 b	2979 a	0.001	155		
Revenue (\$/A)	4637 b	4524 b	5062 a	0.003	290		
NNN (ug/g)	0.40 b	0.71 a	0.38 b	0.0001	0.09		
NAT (ug/g)	0.39 b	0.84 a	0.34 b	0.0001	0.12		
NNK (ug/g)	0.03 b	0.11 a	0.03 b	0.0001	0.03		
TSNA (ug/g)	0.82 b	1.68 a	0.75 b	0.0001	0.20		

Harvest Management Treatment Effects Means Across Varieties

Early Season - Lexington

	Days between Cutting and Housing						
Variable	0	3	6	10	PR>F	LSD. ₀₅	
Grade Index	74 a	64 b,c	71 a,b	63 c	0.02	7	
Price (\$/lb)	1.76 a	1.68 b	1.71 b	1.66 b	0.008	0.05	
Yield (lb/A)	2816	2747	2701	2875	0.18	ns	
Revenue (\$/A)	4961	4620	4606	4778	0.07	ns	
NNN (ug/g)	0.23 c	0.52 b	0.74 a	0.51 b	0.0001	0.09	
NAT (ug/g)	0.29 c	0.52 b	0.75 a	0.52 b	0.01	0.22	
NNK (ug/g)	0.02 c	0.03 b,c	0.08 a,b	0.09 a	0.03	0.05	
TSNA (ug/g)	0.53 c	1.08 b	1.59 a	1.13 b	0.002	0.34	

Harvest Management Study

Early Harvest - Greeneville

Cut 21 days after Topping

Treatment	Cut	Pick-up	Hang	Rainfall	Sunscald
0	Aug. 12	Aug. 12	Aug. 15	0	No
3	Aug. 12	Aug. 15	Aug. 15	0.81 Aug 13 0.81 Aug 14	Yes
6	Aug. 12	Aug. 18	Aug. 18	0.81 Aug 13 0.81 Aug 14	Yes
10	Aug. 12	Aug. 22	Aug. 22	0.81 Aug 13 0.81 Aug 14 0.51 Aug 19	

Period Air Temperature: Max = 90, Min = 75, Avg = 83

2012 - Document not peer-reviewed by CORES

Variety Effects Means Across Harvest Management Treatments

Early Season - Greeneville

	Variety						
Variable	TN 90LC	ms KY14XL8LC	KT 204LC	PR>F	LSD. ₀₅		
Grade Index	32	32	39	0.20	ns		
Price (\$/lb)	0.99	1.05	0.97	0.63	ns		
Yield (lb/A)	2701 b	2952 a	3037 a	0.02	253		
Revenue (\$/A)	2673	3099	2946	0.40	ns		
NNN (ug/g)	0.54	0.64	0.61	0.65	ns		
NAT (ug/g)	0.24	0.40	0.33	0.12	ns		
NNK (ug/g)	0.05	0.10	0.04	0.25	ns		
TSNA (ug/g)	0.83	1.14	0.98	0.32	ns		

Harvest Management Treatment Effects Means Across Varieties

Early Season - Greeneville

	Days between Cutting and Housing						
Variable	0	3	6	10	PR>F	LSD. ₀₅	
Grade Index	49 a	31 b	28 b	29 b	0.001	7	
Price (\$/lb)	1.47 a	1.34 a	0.74 b	0.46 b	0.001	0.31	
Yield (lb/A)	2786 b	2749 b	2853 b	3201 a	0.006	206	
Revenue (\$/A)	4106 a	3680 a	2011 b	1499 b	0.002	1041	
NNN (ug/g)	0.45	0.71	0.57	0.64	0.17	ns	
NAT (ug/g)	0.37	0.32	0.26	0.36	0.16	ns	
NNK (ug/g)	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.14	0.17	ns	
TSNA (ug/g)	0.86	1.07	0.87	1.14	0.34	ns	

Harvest Management Study

Late Harvest - Lexington, KY

Cut 35 days after Topping

Treatment	Cut	Pick-up	Hang	Rainfall	Sunscald
0	Oct. 4	Oct. 4	Oct. 7	0	No
3	Oct. 4	Oct. 7	Oct. 7	0	No
6	Oct. 4	Oct. 10	Oct. 10	0	No
10	Oct. 4	Oct. 13	Oct. 13	0	No

Period Air Temperature: Max = 74, Min = 51, Avg = 63

Variety Effects Means Across Harvest Management Treatments

Late Season - Lexington

	Variety						
Variable	TN 90LC ms KY14XL8L0		KT 204LC	PR>F	LSD. ₀₅		
Grade Index	41	45	44	0.53	ns		
Price (\$/lb)	1.53	1.64	1.69	0.18	ns		
Yield (lb/A)	2480	2680	2582	0.23	ns		
Revenue (\$/A)	3769	4386	4354	0.09	ns		
NNN (ug/g)	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.87	ns		
NAT (ug/g)	0.18	0.22	0.14	0.25	ns		
NNK (ug/g)	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.44	ns		
TSNA (ug/g)	0.40	0.44	0.34	0.49	ns		

s2012 - Document not peer-reviewed by CORES

Harvest Management Treatment Effects Means Across Varieties

Late Season - Lexington

	Days between Cutting and Housing					
Variable	0	3	6	10	PR>F	LSD. ₀₅
	47	4.4	47	25	0.00	
Grade Index	47	44	47	35	0.32	ns
Price (\$/lb)	1.65	1.63	1.70	1.50	0.21	ns
Yield (lb/A)	2516	2504	2673	2629	0.26	ns
Revenue (\$/A)	4150	4080	4550	3899	0.21	ns
NNN (ug/g)	0.12	0.15	0.24	0.27	0.15	ns
NAT (ug/g)	0.16	0.17	0.19	0.20	0.38	ns
NNK (ug/g)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.13	ns
TSNA (ug/g)	0.28	0.33	0.44	0.51	0.13	ns

Harvest Management Study

Late Harvest - Greeneville, TN Cut 35 days after Topping

Treatment	Cut	Pick-up	Hang	Rainfall	Sunscald
0	Sept. 27	Sept. 27	Sept. 30	0	No
3	Sept. 27	Sept. 30	Sept. 30	0	No
6	Sept. 27	Oct. 3	Oct. 3	0	No
10	Sept. 27	Oct. 7	Oct. 7	0	No

Period Air Temperature: Max = 77, Min = 32, Avg = 57

- Document not peer-reviewed by CORES

Variety Effects Means Across Harvest Management Treatments

Late Season - Greeneville

	Variety						
Variable	TN 90LC	ms KY14XL8LC	KT 204LC	PR>F	LSD. ₀₅		
Grade Index	33 a,b	35 a	31 b	0.01	3		
Price (\$/lb)	1.44 a,b	1.50 a	1.38 b	0.04	0.09		
Yield (lb/A)	3127	3472	3195	0.11	ns		
Revenue (\$/A)	4501 b	5203 a	4427 b	0.03	594		
NNN (ug/g)	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.96	ns		
NAT (ug/g)	0.14 b	0.25 a	0.12 b	0.001	0.06		
NNK (ug/g)	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.34	ns		
TSNA (ug/g)	0.44	0.48	0.36	0.19	ns		

s2012 - Document not peer-reviewed by CORES

Harvest Management Treatment Effects Means Across Varieties

Late Season - Greeneville

	Days between Cutting and Housing						
Variable	0	3	6	10	PR>F	LSD. ₀₅	
Crede Index	20	24	25	22	0.47		
Grade Index	29	34	35	32	0.47	ns	
Price (\$/lb)	1.33	1.50	1.48	1.45	0.42	ns	
Yield (lb/A)	3301	3349	3125	3283	0.39	ns	
Revenue (\$/A)	4429	5024	4615	4773	0.57	ns	
NNN (ug/g)	0.16	0.21	0.26	0.29	0.08	ns	
NAT (ug/g)	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.42	ns	
NNK (ug/g)	0.01	0.00	0.07	0	0.47	ns	
TSNA (ug/g)	0.33	0.40	0.46	0.51	0.22	ns	

Summary of Chemistry Effects

KY 14 X L8 tended to have higher TSNA, primarily NAT; however, the difference was significant for only the early Lexington trial

For early season trials, tobacco picked up from the field on the day of harvest displayed better quality

Tobacco picked up on the day of harvest tended to have the lowest TSNA content; this was significant only for the early Lexington trial

Rainfall occurring while the tobacco remained in the field following harvest did not appear to substantially increase TSNA content

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Appreciation is expressed to Philip Morris International and R.J. Reynolds for funding the Kentucky-Tennessee Tobacco Improvement Initiative.