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## USP Nicotine

, Designed for pharmaceutical products.

- Limited guidance and regulation for producing e-liquid.

The USP monograph is considered the gold standard.

- Majority of manufacturers adhere to this testing to ensure quality and consistency of product.
, Good product stewardship.


## USP Nicotine Monograph

, Consists of several tests
Identification (UV absorption and FTIR)
Assay (acid/ base titration)

- Specific Rotation (Polarimetry)
- Water Determination (Karl Fischer titration)
- Organic Impurities (HPLC with UV)

Elemental Impurities or Metals (ICP- MS)

- Residual Solvents (headspace GC- FID) (not a USP nicotine test)


## Limit Tests

- Tests that are being used to identify / control impurities

Quantitative or semi quantitative tests designed to identify and control small quantities or impurities which are likely to be present in the substances.

- Pass or Fail tests
- The impurity level in sample solution should not be greater than standard solution.
Example: the concentration of lead in USP grade nicotine must be $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ or lower.


## PASS

FAIL

## J values - Maximum Concentration

- Metals are placed into three classes, based on toxicity and likelihood of occurrence in product
- Metal toxicity is related to the extent of exposure (three routes of administration: oral, parenteral, inhalational)
- Because of their high degree of toxicity, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, and mercury rank among the priority metals that are of public health significance

Permitted inhalation concentrations (J) of elemental impurities

| Element | Symbol | Class | Inhalation Conc. (Hg/g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | Cd | 1 | 0.2 |
| Lead | Pb | 1 | 0.5 |
| Arsenic | As | 1 | 0.2 |
| Mercury | Hg | 1 | 0.1 |
| Cobalt | Co | 2 A | 0.3 |
| Vanadium | V | 2 A | 0.1 |
| Nickel | Ni | 2 A | 0.5 |
| Lithium | Li | 3 | 2.5 |
| Antimony | Sb | 3 | 2 |
| Barium | Ba | 3 | 30 |
| Molybdenum | Mo | 3 | 1 |
| Copper | Cu | 3 | 3 |
| Tin | Sn | 3 | 6 |
| Chromium | Cr | 3 | 0.3 |

USP <232> ELEMENTAL IMPURITIES-LIMITS
USP <233> ELEMENTAL IMPURITIES—PROCEDURE

## Method Development

- Sample Preparation

Matrix matched standards

- Dilution in nitric acid
, Instrumental Analysis (ICP- MS)
- Selection of analyte isotopes
- Elimination of interferences
- Kinetic Energy Discrimination (KED)
- Dynamic Reaction Cell (DRC)
, Data Interpretation and Report
Generation ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ )


## Sample Preparation

- Sample Preparation

Matrix matched standards
Avoid contamination (polypropylene containers)
No microwave digestion required
Samples are diluted in nitric acid and water.

- Advantages

More samples can be analyzed in a limited time

- Improves precision especially for volatile analytes (like Hg ) and abundant contaminants (like Cu)
- For Hg only

Gold is used as a stabilizer in standards
Gold added to samples to match the standard according to USP requirement

## Selection of analyte isotopes

, Choose the most abundant isotope with least interferences
, Some isotopes of different elements overlap

- Primary isotope is used for quantification and secondary is used for confirmation
- Some elements are monoisotopic
- For lead, sum of all isotopes is used

| Element | No. Isotopes | Primary | Secondary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vanadium | 2 | 51 | 50 |
| Chromium | 4 | 52 | 53 |
| Cobalt | 1 | 59 | - |
| Nickel | 5 | 60 | 62 |
| Copper | 2 | 63 | 65 |
| Lithium | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| Arsenic | 1 | 75 | - |
| Tin | 10 | 117 | 118 |
| Cadmium | 8 | 112 | 111 |
| Antimony | 3 | 121 | 123 |
| Barium | 6 | 137 | 135 |
| Molybdenum | 7 | 94 | 96 |
| Mercury | 7 | 200 | 202 |
| Lead | 4 | $206 / 207 / 208$ | NA |

## Selection of Internal Standards (IS)

- IS corrects for the loss of analyte during sample preparation or instrument drift.
, IS should not be present in the sample
- IS should have similar characteristics to analyte (close mass)
, IS should be added to all standards and samples

| Element | Mass | Sc 45 | Ge 74 | Y 89 | In 115 | Bi 209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lithium | 7 | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Vanadium | 51 | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Chromium | 52 | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cobalt | 59 | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Nickel | 60 | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | 63 |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Arsenic | 75 |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Molybdenum | 94 |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| Tin | 117 |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| Cadmium | 112 |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| Antimony | 121 |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| Barium | 137 |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| Mercury | 200 |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| Lead | 207 |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |

## ICP-MS Interferences

## Polyatomic Ions

By-product of plasma reaction Maximum effect on period 4 elements

- Arsenic (As)

Cobalt (Co)

- Vanadium(V)
- Nickel (Ni)
- Copper (Cu)
- Chromium (Cr)
- Lithium (Li)
- Tin (Sn)
- Lead (Pb)
- Cadmium (Cd)
- Mercury (Hg)
- Antimony (Sb)
- Barium (Ba)

Molybdenum (Mo)


| Analyte I sotope | I nterfering ion |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{51} \mathrm{~V}^{+}$ | ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ |
| ${ }^{52} \mathrm{Cr}^{+}$ | ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Ar}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+},{ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{12} \mathrm{C}^{+}$ |
| ${ }^{59} \mathrm{CO}^{+}$ | ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{18} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$ |
| ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Ni}^{+}$ | ${ }^{44} \mathrm{Ca}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ |
| ${ }^{63} \mathrm{Cu}^{+}$ | ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{23} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ |
| ${ }^{75} \mathrm{As}^{+}$ | ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}{ }^{+}$ |
| ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}^{+}$ | ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ |
| $66 \mathrm{Zn}^{+}$ | ${ }^{34} \mathrm{~S}^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ |
| ${ }^{78} \mathrm{Se}^{+}$ | ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{38} \mathrm{Ar}^{+}$ |
| ${ }^{72} \mathrm{Ge}^{+}$ | ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ |

## Matrix interferences

Origination from the matrix of sample

## Kinetic Energy Discrimination (KED)

- An inert gas is used in a collision cell
, Both analytes and polyatomic interferences collide with He
- Polyatomic ions have larger collision cross section, they undergo more collisions and lose more kinetic energy
- By setting a voltage barrier between the collision cell and the quadrupole, interferences are removed


## Arsenic (As)

Cobalt (Co)
Vanadium(V)
Nickel (Ni)
Copper (Cu)
Chromium (Cr)
Lithium (Li)
Tin (Sn)
Lead (Pb)
Cadmium (Cd)
Mercury (Hg)
Antimony (Sb)
Barium (Ba)
Molybdenum (Mo)
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## Dynamic Reaction Cell (DRC)

A highly reactive gas is used in the cell
, Usually the interference will react with DRC gas and the interference is removed
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## Method Validation

- The described was validated, as described by the International Conference of Harmonization (ICH) and the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and United States Pharmacopeia (USP) guidelines:
- Linearity
- Selectivity

Accuracy

- Precision - Method/Instrument
- Intermediate Precision
- Range

Robustness (Drift and standard stability)

- Limit of Detection (LOD) / Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)


## Linearity, Range, Drift, LOQ

## Calibration curve

Include 50\%J, 100\%J and 150\% J for all elements

50\%J and 150\%J standards are used as check standards

Separate set of standards used for Hg containing gold as stabilizer

| Standard | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metal | Concentration ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cd | 0.8 | 1 | 1.6 | 2 | 2.4 | 3 | 3.2 |
| Pb | 2 | 2.5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7.5 | 8 |
| As | 0.8 | 1 | 1.6 | 2 | 2.4 | 3 | 3.2 |
| Hg | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Co | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 3 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| V | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Ni | 2 | 2.5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7.5 | 8 |
| Li | 10 | 12.5 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 37.5 | 40 |
| Sb | 8 | 10 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 30 | 32 |
| Ba | 120 | 150 | 240 | 300 | 360 | 450 | 480 |
| Mo | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 16 |
| Cu | 12 | 15 | 24 | 30 | 36 | 45 | 48 |
| Sn | 24 | 30 | 48 | 60 | 72 | 90 | 96 |
| Cr | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 3 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| Hg | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J Value } \\ *: \end{gathered}$ | - | 50\% | - | 100\% | - | 150\% | - |

## Linearity, Range, Drift, LOQ

## Cd Calibration Curve

, Curve Acceptance Criteria
Each calibration curve consists of six standards
Regression $\geq 0.995$
Residuals within $15 \%$ of theoretical values QC within $\pm 15 \%$ of theoretical value
, Drift
The drift for Std 2 within 20\%for each target element compared to injection of matrix blank


## Accuracy

- Samples were spiked at three concentration levels for each metal across the calibration curve (50\%J, 100\%J and 150\%J) and recoveries were calculated
- Each recovery at each level for all elements must be 70-150\%(USP requirement)
- Recovery were within $100 \pm 20 \%$
- The accuracy experiment was repeated on two (2) additional days.


## Cd Recoveries (Day1)

|  | Blank | 50\%J Recovery |  | 100\% J Recovery |  | 150\% J Recovery |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replicate | Conc. ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Conc. ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | \% Rec | Conc ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | \% Rec | Conc. (ng/mL) | \% Rec |
| Day 1 - Analyst I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.011 | 0.919 | 90.9 | 1.891 | 94.0 | 2.813 | 93.4 |
| 2 | - | 0.936 | 92.6 | 1.877 | 93.3 | 2.743 | 91.1 |
| 3 | - | 0.939 | 92.9 | 1.861 | 92.5 | 2.800 | 93.0 |
| 4 | - | 0.932 | 92.1 | 1.886 | 93.8 | 2.813 | 93.4 |
| 5 | - | 0.977 | 96.6 | 1.830 | 91.0 | 2.732 | 90.7 |
| 6 | - | - | - | 1.917 | 95.3 | - | - |
| Average | 0.011 | 0.941 | 93.0 | 1.877 | 93.3 | 2.780 | 92.3 |
| SD | - | 0.022 |  | 0.029 |  | 0.039 |  |
| RSD | - | 2.3 |  | 1.6 |  | 1.4 |  |
| Global Laboratory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Selectivity (System Suitability)

## Class 1 Selectivity Recoveries

- Samples varied by source (supply origin, lot, etc.)
- Samples were spiked at 100\%J value for each metal, and recoveries were calculated
- Each recovery was within $100+20 \%$

| Replicate | Conc. <br> $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ | Rec <br> $(\%)$ | Conc. <br> $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ | Rec <br> $(\%)$ | Conc. <br> $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ | Rec (\%) | Conc. <br> $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ | Rec <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nicotine sample \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank | ND | - | 0.247 | - | 0.107 | - | 0.011 |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.510 | 90.2 | 2.359 | 105.6 | 1.091 | 98.4 | 1.789 | 88.9 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 4.459 | 89.2 | 2.248 | 100.0 | 1.082 | 97.4 | 1.883 | 93.6 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 4.498 | 90.0 | 2.256 | 100.5 | 1.091 | 98.3 | 1.821 | 90.5 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.408 | 88.2 | 2.244 | 99.8 | 1.133 | 102.5 | 1.731 | 86.0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4.482 | 89.6 | 2.383 | 106.8 | 1.082 | 97.5 | 1.794 | 89.2 |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 4 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 6}$ |
| SD | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 5}$ |  |
| RSD | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |  |

## Summary

- A method was developed and validated for detection of heavy metals in pure nicotine products
, KED and DRC were employed to remove interferences
- The method has high selectivity and accuracy
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